Standards and Directions for Assessing Compliance Revised August 17, 2015 Evaluation Sample

Note: When reviewing a record of a student who is an adult without an appointed guardian, substitute "adult student" for "parent" in all items.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
EVAL-1	The student's parents were contacted and afforded an opportunity to participate in the review of existing evaluation data. 34 CFR §300.305(a), §300.321(a)(1) Wis. Stats., §115.782(2)(b)1 Indicator 8	After a parent is notified in writing of the start of an initial evaluation or reevaluation, IEP team members must review existing data to determine what additional data are needed, if any. The student's parents, as members of the IEP team, must have the opportunity to participate in this review. After the review is complete the local educational agency (LEA) must either notify the parent no additional assessments are needed or request parental consent for additional assessment. This requirement has three components: • The review of existing data must occur after the parent is notified in writing of the start of an evaluation (IE-1 or RE-1). • The date, method, and the parent's input must be documented. This information is often located on the Worksheet for Consideration of Existing Data (EW-1). • The review of existing data must occur on or before the date on the form requesting parental consent for additional assessment or the notice no additional assessments are needed (IE-2, IE-3, RE-4, or RE-5). The review of existing data may occur on the same day the parent is notified of the start of an evaluation only if the parent is provided with a copy of the notice of the start of the evaluation in person prior to the review. If the notice of the start of an evaluation (IE-1 or RE-1) is mailed to the parent, the LEA	Student-level Noncompliance: The department will verify correction of student-level noncompliance. If the parent was not afforded an opportunity to participate in the review of existing data, review evaluation data with the parent and determine whether additional evaluation data were needed at the time of the evaluation. If additional data were needed, decide whether a reevaluation is warranted at this time. Document the results of the discussion with the parent and the decision reached. If the parent was afforded an opportunity to participate in the review of existing data, but the review occurred before the parent was notified in writing of the start of the evaluation, no student-level corrective action is required. There must be evidence of the

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
EVAL-1 cont'd		should consider the amount of time mail takes to go through the LEA's processing and mailing system before beginning to review existing data.	parent's participation.
		Although the review of existing data may be completed during an IEP team meeting, an IEP team meeting is not required for this purpose. If a meeting was held to review existing evaluation data, look for an <i>Evaluation Report and IEP Cover Sheet</i> (I-3) and determine whether the parent attended the meeting to review existing evaluation data. A meeting to review existing data may be held on the same day the parent receives the <i>Notice of Receipt of Referral</i> (IE-1) or <i>Notice of Reevaluation</i> (RE-1), as long as the notice is provided before existing data is reviewed and before consent for additional testing is requested.	Current Compliance: The LEA must take action to ensure future compliance including implementing a system of internal controls. The department will verify current compliance on a new student record sample.
		The review may be conducted without a parent's participation if the LEA is unable to convince the parent to participate. If the parent did not participate, the LEA must document at least three reasonable attempts to convince the parent to participate.	
		The record of attempts to involve parents in the review can be found on the LEA's notices, forms IE-2, IE-3, RE-4, or RE-5. In some cases, the record of attempts to involve the parents in the review will be found in section III of form EW-1. Examples of documentation include:	
		 detailed records of telephone calls and the results of those calls; copies of correspondence sent to parent and any response received; and detailed records of visits made to the parent's home or place of employment and results of the visits. 	

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
EVAL-1 cont'd		If the parent did not participate, but there is a record of at least three reasonable attempts to convince the parent to participate, the requirement is met. Often school personnel will attempt to contact parents by telephone. Do not count a telephone call where there is no answer or no message is left as an attempt. A telephone call where the phone is answered and a message is left may be counted. A delivered e-mail message may be counted as an attempt.	
EVAL-2-4	A review of existing evaluation data on the student to identify what additional data, if any, were needed to complete the evaluation or reevaluation included:	After a parent is notified in writing of the start of an initial evaluation or reevaluation, IEP team members must review existing data to determine what, if any, additional data are needed. After the review is complete, the LEA must either notify the parent no additional assessments are needed or request parental consent for additional assessment.	Student-level Noncompliance: The department will verify correction of student-level noncompliance. If the required IEP team member was not afforded an opportunity to
EVAL-2	a. not less than 1 regular education teacher of such student (if the student is, or may be, participating in the regular education environment); and	 This requirement has three components: The review of existing data must occur <u>after</u> the parent is notified in writing of the start of an evaluation (IE-1 or RE-1). The required IEP team member's input and the date of the input must be documented. This information is often 	participate in the review of existing data, review evaluation data with the member and determine whether additional evaluation data were needed. If additional data were needed at the time of the evaluation, determine
EVAL-3	b. not less than 1 special education teacher of the student, or where appropriate, not less than 1 special education provider of such student; and	located on the <i>Worksheet for Consideration of Existing Data</i> (EW-1). • The review of existing data must occur on or before the date on the form requesting parental consent for additional assessment or the notice no additional assessments are needed (IE-2, IE-3, RE-4, or RE-5).	whether a reevaluation is warranted at this time. Document the results of the discussion with the IEP team member and the decision reached. If the required IEP team member
EVAL-4	c. a local educational agency representative.	The review of existing data may occur on the same day the parent is notified of the start of an evaluation only if the parent is	was afforded an opportunity to participate in the review of

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
EVAL-2-4		provided with a copy of the notice of the start of the evaluation	existing data, but the review
cont'd	34 CFR § 300.305(a),	in person prior to the review. If the notice of the start of an	occurred before the parent was
	§300.321(a)(2-4)	evaluation (IE-1 or RE-1) is mailed to the parent, the LEA	notified in writing of the start of
	Wis. Stats.§ 115.782(2)(b)1	should consider the amount of time mail takes to go through the	the evaluation, no student-level
		LEA's processing and mailing system before beginning to	corrective action is required.
	Indicator 3	review existing data.	There must be evidence of the
			IEP team member's participation.
		Although the review of existing data may be completed during	
		an IEP team meeting, an IEP team meeting is not required for	
		this purpose. If a meeting was held to review existing evaluation	Current Compliance:
		data, look for an Evaluation Report and IEP Cover Sheet (I-3)	The LEA must take action to
		and determine whether the required IEP team member attended	ensure future compliance
		the meeting to review existing evaluation data. A meeting to	including implementing a system
		review existing data may be held on the same day the parent	of internal controls. The
		receives the Notice of Receipt of Referral (IE-1) or Notice of	department will verify current
		Reevaluation (RE-1), as long as the notice is provided before	compliance on a new student
		existing data is reviewed and before consent for additional	record sample.
		testing is requested.	
		A regular education teacher is a required member of the IEP	
		team for a child with a disability age 3-5 if the child is or may be	
		participating in a regular early childhood program during the	
		term of the IEP.	
		If the student is not in a manufactured convincement and is not	
		If the student is not in a regular education environment and is not	
		anticipated to be in a regular education environment during the	
		term of the IEP, including a regular early childhood program for	
		a child age 3-5, enter "NA" for item EVAL-2.	
		A common error is failing to obtain the input of the LEA	
		representative. Another common error occurs when the	
		representative. Another common error occurs when the	

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
EVAL-2-4	-	individualized education program (IEP) team participant who	
cont'd		fills out the Worksheet for Consideration of Existing Data fails	
		to include his or her own input.	
		Another common error occurs when a case review by a problem-	
		solving team (teacher assistance teams, building consultation	
		teams) prior to referral for special education is documented,	
		instead of the IEP team's review to decide whether additional	
		evaluation data is needed to complete an IEP team evaluation.	
EVAL-5	The student's parent attended	The LEA must take steps to ensure one or both of the parents of	Student-level Noncompliance:
	the meeting to determine	the student are present at the IEP team meeting or are afforded	Offer parents the opportunity to
	whether the student is or	the opportunity to participate, including 1) notifying parents of	conduct a new IEP team meeting
	continues to be a child with a	the meeting early enough to ensure that they have an opportunity	to determine whether the student
	disability or participated by other means.	to attend; and 2) scheduling the meeting at a mutually agreed on	is or continues to be a child with a
	other means.	time and place.	disability. Document the results
	34 CFR § 300.306,	If neither parent can attend, the school must use other methods to	of the discussion with the parent and the decision reached. The
	\$300.501(b)	ensure parent participation, including individual or conference	department will verify correction
	Wis. Stats.§115.78(2)(a)	telephone calls.	of student-level noncompliance.
	wis. Stats.§113.76(2)(a)	terephone cans.	of student-rever noncompliance.
	Indicator 8	Look at the Evaluation Report and IEP Cover Sheet (I-3) for the	
		name of the parent listed as a participant. Also look on the I-3 to	Current Compliance:
		see that "evaluation including determination of eligibility" is	The LEA must take action to
		indicated as a purpose of the meeting. Consider the LEA's	ensure future compliance
		practices for documenting meeting attendance. If the LEA's	including implementing a system
		practice is to list the participants on I-3 based on their actual	of internal controls. The
		attendance, consider the requirements met if the parent is listed	department will verify current
		as a meeting participant and one purpose of the meeting is IEP	compliance on a new student
		review or development. Some LEAs enter the names of IEP team	record sample.
		participants before the meeting is conducted. They use check	
		marks or participants' initials to indicate attendance at the	

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
EVAL-5		meeting. For such LEAs, consider the requirement met if there is	
cont'd		a check mark or initials indicating the parent attended and one	
		purpose of the meeting was evaluation.	
		If determining eligibility is not indicated on the <i>Evaluation</i>	
		Report and IEP Cover Sheet (I-3) as one purpose of the meeting,	
		you may still be able to establish the purpose of the meeting.	
		Look for other evidence of the purpose of the meeting. Look at	
		the Invitation to a Meeting of the IEP Team (I-1) sent to the	
		parent. Note whether the invitation to the meeting indicates	
		"determining initial or continuing eligibility" as one purpose of	
		the meeting. Also look at the Evaluation Report: including	
		Determination of Eligibility and Need for Special Education	
		(ER-1) and note whether the date of the eligibility determination	
		is the same as the date of the IEP team meeting on the	
		Evaluation Report and IEP Cover Sheet (I-3). The purpose of the	
		meeting is established if the invitation to the meeting (I-1)	
		indicates evaluation as a purpose, and the date of the eligibility	
		determination (ER-1) is the same as the date of the meeting (I-3).	
		If no parent attended the meeting or participated by other means,	
		the parent participation requirement may still be met. If there is	
		documentation the parents have agreed to participate in the IEP	
		team meeting and the parents fail to arrive for the scheduled	
		meeting, the meeting may proceed without the parents in	
		attendance. Look for documentation that the parent agreed to the	
		time and place of the meeting.	
		time and place of the meeting.	
		The requirement may still be met even if the parent declined to	
		participate or did not respond to reasonable attempts to obtain	
		participation in the meeting. Look at the bottom of the	

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
EVAL-5 cont'd		 Evaluation Report and IEP Cover Sheet (I-3) for documentation of at least three reasonable attempts to obtain the parent's participation. Examples include: Records of telephone calls and the results of those calls. Do not count a telephone call where there is no answer or no message is left as an attempt. A telephone call where the phone is answered and a message is left may be counted. Correspondence sent to parents, including e-mail, and any response received. Records of visits to the parent's home or place of 	
EVAL-6	At the IEP team meeting to determine whether the student is a child with a disability, the IEP team reviewed evaluations and information provided by the student's parents. 34 CFR \$300.305(a)(1) Wis. Stats.\$115.782(2)(b)1 Indicator 8	employment and the results of the visits. At the IEP team meeting to determine eligibility, the IEP team must review evaluations and information provided by the student's parents. The relevant information is summarized in Evaluation Report: including Determination of Eligibility and Need for Special Education (form ER-1). Look under "Information from Review of Existing Data" and "Information provided by parents" for information supplied by the parents. Also look under "Summary of previous evaluations" for results of evaluations provided by parents, if any.	Student-level Noncompliance: Offer to parents to conduct a new IEP team meeting to determine whether the student is or continues to be a student with a disability. Document the results of the discussion with the parent and the decision reached. The department will verify correction of student-level noncompliance. Current Compliance: The LEA must take action to ensure future compliance including implementing a system of internal controls. The department will verify current compliance on a new student record sample.

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
EVAL-7	At the IEP team meeting to	At the IEP team meeting to determine eligibility, the IEP team	Student-level Noncompliance:
	determine whether the student	must review previous interventions for the student and the	Offer to parents to conduct a new
	is a child with a disability, the	effects of those interventions. The relevant information is	IEP team meeting to determine if
	IEP team reviewed previous	summarized in the Evaluation Report: including Determination	omitted information affects the
	interventions and the effects of	of Eligibility and Need for Special Education (ER-1). Look	eligibility determination. If yes,
	those interventions.**	under "Information from Review of Existing Data" and	then reconsider eligibility. The
		"Previous interventions and the effects of those interventions"	department will verify correction
	Wis. Stats. §115.782(2)(b)1	for a description of <u>both</u> the interventions for the student <u>and</u> the	of student-level noncompliance.
		effect of those interventions. Ensure both the interventions, <u>and</u>	
	Indicator 10	their effects are documented.	
			Current Compliance:
		For example "Child received Birth to 3 services for	The LEA must take action to
		developmental delays. Although progress was made, language	ensure future compliance
		delays continue to exist." Examples that meet minimal	including implementing a system
		compliance include "Student participated in Title I Reading, but	of internal controls. The
		has made little progress" or "Moved the student to front of room,	department will verify current
		which increased his time on task."	compliance on a new student
			record sample.
		In some cases, there may have been no previous interventions. In	
		such cases, except for initial SLD evaluations, the requirement is	
		met if the IEP team documented there were no previous	
		interventions. For initial SLD evaluations, documentation of an	
		intensive intervention is required.	

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Revised August 17, 2015

IEP Sample

Note: When reviewing a record of a student who is an adult without an appointed guardian, substitute "adult student" for "parent" in all items.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
IEP-1	The student's parent attended the meeting(s) to develop or review the student's IEP or participated by other means. 34 CFR §300.322, Wis. Stats. §115.78(2)(b) Indicator 8	The school must take steps to ensure that one or both of the parents of the student are present at the IEP team meeting or are afforded the opportunity to participate, including 1) notifying parents of the meeting early enough to ensure that they have an opportunity to attend; and 2) scheduling the meeting at a mutually agreed on time and place. If neither parent can attend, the school must use other methods to ensure parent participation, including individual or conference telephone calls.	Student-level Noncompliance: Offer parents the opportunity to conduct a new IEP team meeting to develop or review the student's IEP. Document the results of the discussion with the parent and the decision reached. The department will verify correction of student-level noncompliance.
		Look at the <i>Evaluation Report and IEP Cover Sheet</i> (I-3) for the name of the parent listed as a participant. Also look on the I-3 to see that developing or revising the IEP is indicated as a purpose of the meeting. Consider the LEA's practices for documenting meeting attendance. If the LEA's practice is to list the participants on I-3 based on their actual attendance, consider the requirements met if the parent is listed as a meeting participant and one purpose of the meeting is IEP review or development. Some agencies enter the names of IEP team participants before the meeting is conducted. They use check marks or participants' initials to indicate attendance at the meeting. For such agencies, consider the requirement met if there is a check mark or initials indicating the parent attended and one purpose of the meeting is IEP review or development. If IEP review or development is not indicated on the <i>Evaluation Report and IEP Cover Sheet</i> (I-3) as one purpose of the meeting,	Current Compliance: The LEA must take action to ensure future compliance including implementing a system of internal controls. The department will verify current compliance on a new student record sample.

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
IEP-1 cont'd	Computance Statement	you may still be able to establish the purpose of the meeting. Look at the <i>Invitation to a Meeting of the IEP Team</i> (I-1) to see if IEP review or development is one purpose of the meeting. Then look at the meeting dates on the <i>Determination and Notice of Placement</i> (P-1 or P-2), the <i>Evaluation Report and IEP Cover Sheet</i> (I-3), and the <i>Invitation to a Meeting of the IEP Team</i> (I-1). The purpose of the meeting is established if: • the <i>Invitation to a Meeting of the IEP Team</i> (I-1) indicates IEP review or development as a purpose of the meeting; and • the meeting dates on the <i>Determination and Notice of Placement</i> , the <i>Invitation to a Meeting of the IEP Team</i> ,	COLLCUIDI
		and the Evaluation Report and IEP Cover Sheet all match. If no parent attended the meeting or participated by other means, the parent participation requirement may still be met. If there is documentation the parents have agreed to participate in the IEP team meeting and the parents fail to arrive for the scheduled meeting, the meeting may proceed without the parents in attendance. Look for documentation that the parent agreed to the time and place of the meeting.	
		The requirement may still be met even if the parent did not agree to participate in the meeting. Look at the bottom of the <i>Evaluation Report and IEP Cover Sheet</i> (I-3) for documentation of at least three reasonable attempts to obtain the parent's participation. Examples include: • records of telephone calls and the results of those calls (an unanswered telephone call in which no message has been left, does not count as a reasonable attempt);	

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
	 correspondence sent to parents, including e-mail, and any response received; and 	
	 records of visits to the parent's home or place of employment and the results of the visits. 	
The student's parent attended the meeting to determine the student's placement or participated by other means. 34 CFR §300.327, §300.501(c) Wis. Stats.§115.78(2)(c) Indicator 8	The school must take steps to ensure that one or both of the parents of the student are present at the IEP team meeting or are afforded the opportunity to participate, including 1) notifying parents of the meeting early enough to ensure that they have an opportunity to attend; and 2) scheduling the meeting at a mutually agreed on time and place. If neither parent can attend, the school must use other methods to ensure parent participation, including individual or conference telephone calls.	Student-level Noncompliance: Offer parents the opportunity to conduct a new IEP team meeting to determine the student's placement. Document the results of the discussion with the parent and the decision reached. The department will verify correction of student-level noncompliance.
	Look at the <i>Evaluation Report and IEP Cover Sheet</i> (I-3) for the name of the parent listed as a participant. Also look on the I-3 to see that determination of placement is indicated as a purpose of the meeting. Consider the LEA's practices for documenting meeting attendance. If the LEA's practice is to list the participants on I-3 based on their actual attendance, consider the requirements met if the parent is listed as a meeting participant and one purpose of the meeting is determining placement. Some agencies enter the names of IEP team participants before the meeting is conducted. They use check marks or participants' initials to indicate attendance at the meeting. For such agencies consider the requirement met if there is a check mark or initials indicating the parent attended and one purpose of the meeting is determining placement.	Current Compliance: The LEA must take action to ensure future compliance including implementing a system of internal controls. The department will verify current compliance on a new student record sample.
	The student's parent attended the meeting to determine the student's placement or participated by other means. 34 CFR §300.327, §300.501(c) Wis. Stats.§115.78(2)(c)	• correspondence sent to parents, including e-mail, and any response received; and • records of visits to the parent's home or place of employment and the results of the visits. The student's parent attended the meeting to determine the student's placement or participated by other means. 34 CFR §300.327, §300.501(c) Wis. Stats.§115.78(2)(c) If neither parent can attend, the school must use other methods to ensure parent participation, including individual or conference telephone calls. Look at the Evaluation Report and IEP Cover Sheet (I-3) for the name of the parent listed as a participant. Also look on the I-3 to see that determination of placement is indicated as a purpose of the meeting attendance. If the LEA's practice is to list the participants met if the parent is listed as a meeting participant and one purpose of the meeting is conducted. They use check marks or participants indicating the parent attended and one purpose of the meeting is indicating the meeting is

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
IEP-2 cont'd		Report and IEP Cover Sheet (I-3) as one purpose of the meeting, you may still be able to establish the purpose of the meeting. Look at the Invitation to a Meeting of the IEP Team (I-1) to see if determination of placement is one purpose of the meeting. Then look at the meeting dates on the Determination and Notice	
		 of Placement (P-1 or P-2), the Evaluation Report and IEP Cover Sheet (I-3), and the Invitation to a Meeting of the IEP Team (I-1). The purpose of the meeting is established if: the Invitation to a Meeting of the IEP Team (I-1) indicates determination of placement as a purpose of the meeting; and 	
		• the meeting dates on the <i>Determination and Notice of Placement</i> , the <i>Invitation to a Meeting of the IEP Team</i> (I-1), and the <i>Evaluation Report and IEP Cover Sheet</i> all match.	
		If no parent attended the meeting or participated by other means, the parent participation requirement may still be met if any of the following is true:	
		 there is documentation the parents agreed to participate in the IEP team meeting and the parents failed to arrive for the scheduled meeting. Look for documentation the parent agreed to the time and place of the meeting. 	
		• there is documentation the LEA made three reasonable attempts to convince the parent to participate in the meeting. Look at the bottom of the <i>Evaluation Report</i> and <i>Cover Sheet</i> (I-3) for documentation of at least three reasonable attempts to obtain parent participation.	
		 There is documentation the parent informed the district they did not want to attend the meeting, and that they should proceed without their attendance. 	

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
IEP-2 cont'd		 Examples include: records of telephone calls and the results of those calls (an unanswered telephone call in which no message has been left, does not count as a reasonable attempt); correspondence sent to parents, including e-mail, and any response received; and records of visits to the parent's home or place of employment and the results of the visits. 	
IEP-3	The LEA conducted an IEP team meeting to develop or review and revise the IEP that included a LEA representative. 34 CFR §300.321(a)(4); Wis. Stats.§115.78(1m)(d) Indicator 1	Locate the <i>Evaluation Report and IEP Cover Sheet</i> (I-3). The requirements are met if an LEA representative attended the meeting. Some agencies enter the names of IEP team participants before the meeting is conducted. They use check marks or participants' initials to indicate attendance at the meeting. For such agencies consider the requirement met if there is a check mark or initials indicating the LEA representative attended and a purpose of the meeting is to develop or review or revise the IEP.	Student-level Noncompliance: Offer to parent to conduct a new IEP team meeting with the LEA representative present. Document the results of the discussion with the parent and the decision reached. The department will verify correction of student-level noncompliance.
		If the LEA representative did not attend, the requirement may still be met. In two circumstances, IDEA 2004 permits required IEP team participants not to attend IEP team meetings, in part or in whole. First, a participant is not required to attend an IEP team meeting, in whole or in part, if the parent and the LEA agree in writing the attendance of the required participant is not necessary because the participant's area of the curriculum or related services is not being modified or discussed in the meeting. Second, a required participant may be excused from attending an IEP team meeting even if the meeting involves a modification to,	Current Compliance: The LEA must take action to ensure future compliance including implementing a system of internal controls. The department will verify current compliance on a new student record sample.

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
IEP-3 cont'd		or discussion of, the participant's area of the curriculum or related services. The required participant may be excused if, on or prior to the meeting date, the parent gives written consent and prior to the meeting, the excused participant submits to the parent and the IEP team written input into the development of the IEP.	
		The LEA cannot consent to the excusal of the LEA representative from an IEP team meeting if the individual is needed to ensure that decisions can be made at the meeting about commitment of LEA resources that are necessary to implement the IEP being developed, reviewed, or revised.	
		See Question C-1, Questions and Answers on Individualized Education Programs, Evaluations, and Reevaluations, Revised June 2010, OSEP, at http://idea.ed.gov/explore/view/p/%2Croot%2Cdynamic%2CQaCorner%2C3%2C	
		If the LEA representative did not attend, locate form I-2, Agreement On IEP Team Participant Attendance at IEP Team Meeting. If the parent signed form I-2 on or prior to the meeting date, the requirement is met.	
IEP-4	The IEP contains a statement of the student's present levels of academic achievement and functional performance. 34 CFR §300.320(a)(1); Wis. Stats.§115.787(2)(a)	Review the <i>Individualized Education Program: Present Level of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance</i> (I-4). There must be a statement identifying the student's present levels of academic achievement and functional performance related to educational needs. The statement should be written in language understandable to	Student-level Noncompliance: Conduct a new IEP team meeting to revise the IEP to include a statement of the student's present levels of academic achievement and functional performance.* The department will verify correction

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
IEP-4		all, including the parent. The statement must address both	of student-level noncompliance.
cont'd	Indicator 3 Indicator 7	academic achievement and functional performance.	
		Academic Achievement generally refers to a student's	Current Compliance:
		performance in academic content areas (e.g., reading, math,	The LEA must take action to
		science, history). Academic achievement statements may include	ensure future compliance
		information about a student's performance compared to	including implementing a system
		established grade level benchmarks or performance measures or	of internal controls. The
		in relation to district or school rubrics, screeners or progress	department will verify current
		monitoring tools used to track student achievement.	compliance on a new student
			record sample.
		Functional Performance includes:	
		Activities and skills not considered academic or directly	
		related to a student's academic achievement on	
		statewide assessments;	
		routine activities of everyday living; a chille needed for independence and performance at	
		 skills needed for independence and performance at school, in the home, in the community, for leisure time, 	
		and for post-secondary and life-long learning;	
		 motor skills, personal care, school/work habits, 	
		home/community orientation; and	
		 behavior and interpersonal relationships. 	
		behavior and interpersonal relationships.	
		Sometimes the same statement may include both academic	
		achievement and functional performance.	
		Academic achievement and functional performance for early	
		childhood children, aged 3 through 5, with IEPs may include	
		information about positive social-emotional skills (including	
		social relationships); acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	
		(including early language/communication and early literacy);	

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
IEP-4 cont'd		and use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	
		If, after conducting a review, the IEP team determines the student does not have deficits in functional performance, it is sufficient to document this in the student's IEP.	
IEP-5	The IEP includes how the student's disability affects his or her involvement and progress in the general curriculum or for an early childhood (3-5) student in age-appropriate activities. 34 CFR §300.320(a)(1)(i); Wis. Stats. §115.787(2)(a) Indicator 3	Review the <i>Individualized Education Program: Present Level of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance</i> (I-4) to see whether it includes a description of the impact of the student's disability on his or her progress and involvement in the general curriculum. The present level of educational performance must include how the student's disability affects his or her involvement and progress in the general curriculum. General curriculum is the same curriculum that is established for students without disabilities. General curriculum includes the subjects and curriculum areas adopted by each LEA, or schools within the LEA, that applies to all students within each general age grouping from early childhood (3-5) through secondary school. For an early childhood (3-5) student, the present level must address how the student's disability affects his or her participation in age-appropriate activities. "Appropriate activities" means activities that students of that chronological age typically engage in as part of a formal early childhood (3-5) program or in informal activities, for example coloring, prereading activities, play time, sharing time, listening to stories read by teachers or parents. A statement that just acknowledges that a student's disability	Student-level Noncompliance: Conduct a new IEP team meeting to revise the IEP to include how the student's disability affects the student's involvement and progress in the general curriculum.* The department will verify correction of student-level noncompliance. Current Compliance: The LEA must take action to ensure future compliance including implementing a system of internal controls. The department will verify current compliance on a new student record sample.
		impacts his/her performance is not sufficient. Look for	

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
	statements that tell how the student's progress is impacted by the disability.	
The IEP teams must, in the case of a student whose behavior impedes his or her learning or that of others, consider the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports and other strategies to address that behavior. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(2)(i); Wis. Stats. §115.787(3)(b)(1) Indicator 4 For more information see the department's bulletin on Addressing the Behavioral Needs of Students with Disabilities at http://sped.dpi.wi.gov/sped_bul_07-01 .	Locate Individualized Education Program: Present Level of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance (form I-4). Look under "Special Factors." If "no" is checked, enter "NA" (not applicable). If "yes" is checked or neither box is checked on I-4 under "Special Factors," locate Individualized Education Program: Special Factors (form I-5). If neither box is checked on I-4, and there is no form I-5, the IEP does not meet the standard and the requirement is not met. If there is an I-5, look at section A. If "no" is checked in section A, enter "NA" (not applicable). If "yes" is checked in section A, determine whether the IEP includes positive behavioral interventions, strategies, and supports to address the behavior impeding learning. An IEP that includes only negative measures, such as seclusion or restraint, suspension, or detention does not meet the standard.	Student-level Noncompliance: Conduct a new IEP team meeting to consider the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports and other strategies to address behavior.* The department will verify correction of student-level noncompliance. Current Compliance: The LEA must take action to ensure future compliance including implementing a system of internal controls. The department will verify current compliance on a new student record sample.
The student's IEP includes a statement of measurable annual goals for the student. 34 CFR §300.320(a)(2); Wis.	Review the <i>Individualized Education Program:</i> Annual Goal pages (Form I-6). All of the IEP annual goals must be measurable and include a level of attainment. The annual goal must address disability-related needs of the student. Goals such as "pass all classes" or "take classes to meet graduation	Student-level Noncompliance: Conduct an IEP team meeting to revise the IEP to include a statement of measurable annual goals for the student, including academic and functional goals.*
34 CFR §300.3200 Stats. §115.787(2)	. , . , .	(a)(2); Wis. as "pass all classes" or "take classes to meet graduation

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
IEP-7	•	standard because they do not address a student's specific	The department will verify
cont'd	Indicator 3	disability-related needs.	correction of student-level
			noncompliance.
		If a student is taking alternate assessments aligned to alternate	
		achievement standards during the year the IEP is in effect, the	
		IEP must include benchmarks or short-term objectives for all	Current Compliance:
		IEP annual goals. Benchmarks describe the amount of progress	The LEA must take action to
		the student is expected to make within specific segments of the	ensure future compliance
		year. Short-term objectives break the skills described in the	including implementing a system
		annual goal into discrete, measurable intermediate steps. There is	of internal controls. The
		no requirement to develop a goal for each alternate achievement	department will verify current
		standard.	compliance on a new student
			record sample.
		Review the Individualized Education Program: Annual Goal	
		pages (Form I-6). Look at form I-7, Individualized <i>Education</i>	
		Program: Participation in Statewide Assessments, to determine	
		whether the student takes an alternate assessment aligned to	
		alternate achievement standards. If a student is taking an	
		alternate assessment, the requirement is met if there are benchmarks or short-term objectives for all annual goals.	
		benchinarks of short-term objectives for all allitual goals.	
		Sometimes the IEP team will develop benchmarks or short-term	
		objectives even though the student will not participate in an	
		alternate assessment. If there are benchmarks or short-term	
		objectives associated with an annual goal, consider the annual	
		goal to be measurable if a majority of the benchmarks or short-	
		term objectives are measurable and include a level of attainment.	
IEP-8	The IEP includes a statement of	Review the Individualized Education Program: Annual Goal	Student-level Noncompliance:
	how the student's progress	pages (form I-6). For each of the annual goals, the IEP must	Conduct a new IEP team meeting
	toward achieving the annual	identify how the student's progress is to be measured. Such	to revise the IEP to include a
	goals will be measured.	methods may include the results of progress monitoring,	statement of how the student's

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
IEP-8		observations, anecdotal notes, keeping a log, work samples,	progress toward achieving the
cont'd	34 CFR §300.320(a)(3)(i); Wis.	exams, assessments, or point sheets.	annual goals will be measured.*
	Stats. §115.787(2)(h)		The department will verify
			correction of student-level
	Indicator 3		noncompliance.
			Current Compliance:
			The LEA must take action to
			ensure future compliance
			including implementing a system
			of internal controls. The
			department will verify current
			compliance on a new student
			record sample.
IEP-9	The IEP describes the extent, if	Each student must be educated, to the maximum extent	Student-level Noncompliance:
	any, to which the student will	appropriate, with non-disabled peers in regular education (or for	Conduct a new IEP team meeting
	not participate with non-	early childhood (3-5) students, in age-appropriate settings).	to revise the IEP to describe the
	disabled students in the regular		extent, if any, to which the
	education environment.	This requirement addresses where the student will be taught, not	student will not participate with
		what he will be taught. Removal from the regular education	non-disabled students in the
	34 CFR §300.320(a)(5); Wis.	environment must only occur when the student cannot be	regular education environment.*
	Stats. §115.787(2)(d)	satisfactorily educated in that environment with the use of	The department will verify
		supplementary aids and services.	correction of student-level
	Indicator 5		noncompliance.
		The IEP team must decide whether the student will be full-time	
		in the regular education environment. If not, the team must	
		determine the extent of the removal and document it in the IEP.	Current Compliance:
			The LEA must take action to
		Look at the Individualized Education Program: Program	ensure future compliance
		Summary, form I-9, section V, "Participation in Regular	including implementing a system
		Education Classes":	of internal controls. The

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
IEP-9		If the IEP indicates the student will participate full-time	department will verify current
cont'd		with non- disabled students in regular education	compliance on a new student
		environments (or for early childhood (3-5) students, in	record sample.
		age-appropriate settings), no further explanation is	
		required.	
		• If the IEP indicates the student will not participate full-	
		time in the regular education environment, there must	
		be an explanation of the extent the student will not	
		participate.	
		The description of the extent, if any, to which the student will be	
		removed from the regular education environment must be	
		consistent with the statement of special education, related	
		services, and supplementary aids and services in the IEP,	
		including the anticipated frequency, amount, and location.	
		Sometimes the extent of removal is unclear because the location	
		of the services is listed as both the regular and special education	
		environment without a description of when removal will occur.	
		e.g., "speech therapy 90 minutes per week in the regular	
		classroom and the resource room." If this is the case, the extent	
IED 10	The statement of an election	of removal is unclear and the requirement is not met.	Co. L. d. L. L. M
IEP-10	The statement of special education in the IEP includes	Look for documentation on the <i>Individualized Education Program: Program Summary</i> , (form I-9), section I. The services	Student-level Noncompliance: Conduct a new IEP team meeting
	anticipated frequency including	must be stated in the IEP so the level of the LEA's commitment	to revise the IEP to include
	the amount.	of resources is clear to the parents and other IEP team members.	anticipated frequency, including
	the amount.	The amount of time to be committed to each service must be	the amount, of special education.*
	34 CFR §300.320(a)(4)&(7)	appropriate to the specific service and stated in a manner that	The department will verify
	Wis. Stats. §115.787(2)(c)&(f)	can be understood by all involved in developing and	correction of student-level
	()() () () ()	implementing the IEP. Whenever possible, the IEP should	noncompliance.
	Indicator 3	describe special education using daily allotments of hours or	

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
IEP-10 cont'd		minutes. Where a student's disability and unique educational needs are such that it would not be appropriate to reflect the amount in a daily allocation, the IEP should identify specific allocations appropriate to the needed special education, preferably in weekly allotments. "20 minutes three times per week", "40 minutes per week" or "1 hour daily" are acceptable statements.	Current Compliance: The LEA must take action to ensure future compliance including implementing a system of internal controls. The department will verify current compliance on a new student
		In the case where it is impossible to describe special education services in daily or weekly allotments of time, the IEP must clearly describe the circumstances under which the service will be provided. Statements such as "as needed," "as deemed necessary," "when appropriate," or "available daily" do not make clear the LEA's level of commitment of resources. Specific objective criteria should be used to describe when a particular service will be provided. This makes it clear when the service must be provided.	record sample.
	For more information and examples, see DPI Information Update Bulletin, No. 10.07 at http://sped.dpi.wi.gov/sped_bul10-07 .	The amount of time may be stated as a narrow range, but only if the student's IEP team determines stating the amount of services as a narrow range is necessary to meet the unique needs of the student. A narrow range may not be used for administrative convenience, such as personnel shortages or uncertainty regarding the availability of staff. The range also cannot be unreasonably wide (generally not more than 15 minutes), because this does not provide a clear commitment of resources. For example, an acceptable description might be "three times per week for 30-45 minutes per session, depending on the student's ability to attend to the instruction."	

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
IEP-10		is not acceptable because it is not a clear commitment of	
cont'd		resources, e.g., "a minimum of 15 minutes three times per	
		week."	
IEP-11	The statement of related	The IEP team must identify related services, if any are required,	Student-level Noncompliance:
	services, if any, includes	to assist the child to benefit from special education.	Conduct a new IEP team meeting
	anticipated frequency including		to revise the IEP to include
	the amount.	Look for documentation on the <i>Individualized Education</i>	anticipated frequency, including
		Program: Program Summary, (form I-9), section II. The	the amount, of related services.*
	34 CFR §300.320(a)(4)&(7)	services must be stated in the IEP so the level of the LEA's	The department will verify
	Wis. Stats. §115.787(2)(c)&(f)	commitment of resources is clear to the parents and other IEP	correction of student-level
		team members. The amount of time to be committed to each	noncompliance.
	Indicator 3	service must be appropriate to the specific service and stated in a	
		manner that can be understood by all involved in developing and	
		implementing the IEP. Whenever possible, the IEP should	Current Compliance:
		describe related services using daily allotments of hours or	The LEA must take action to
		minutes. Where a student's disability and unique educational	ensure future compliance
		needs are such that it would not be appropriate to reflect the	including implementing a system
		amount in a daily allocation, the IEP should identify specific	of internal controls. The
		allocations appropriate to the needed related services, preferably	department will verify current
		in weekly allotments. "20 minutes three times per week", "40	compliance on a new student
		minutes per week" or "1 hour daily" are acceptable statements.	record sample.
		In the case where it is impossible to describe special education	
		services in daily or weekly allotments of time, the IEP must	
		clearly describe the circumstances under which the service will	
		be provided. Statements such as "as needed," "as deemed	
		necessary," "when appropriate," or "available daily" do not	
		make clear the LEA's level of commitment of resources.	
		Specific objective criteria should be used to describe when a	
		particular service will be provided. This makes it clear when the	
		service must be provided.	

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
IEP-11 cont'd	For more information and examples, see DPI Information Update Bulletin, No. 10.07 at http://sped.dpi.wi.gov/sped_bul10-07 .	The amount of time may be stated as a narrow range, but only if the student's IEP team determines stating the amount of services as a narrow range is necessary to meet the unique needs of the student. A narrow range may not be used for administrative convenience, such as personnel shortages or uncertainty regarding the availability of staff. The range also cannot be unreasonably wide (generally not more than 15 minutes), because this does not provide a clear commitment of resources. For example, an acceptable description might be "three times per week for 30-45 minutes per session, depending on the student's ability to attend to the instruction." Stating the amount of service as a minimum and/or a maximum is not acceptable because it is not a clear commitment of resources, e.g., "a minimum of 15 minutes three times per week." If the "no" box is checked, skip this item and enter "NA" (not	Correction
IEP-12	The student's placement is determined at least annually. 34 CFR §300.116(b)(1); Wis. Stats. §115.79(1)(b) Indicator 5	applicable). The IEP team determines the special education placement for the student. The student's IEP team must meet at least annually to determine placement. Mark "NA" (not applicable) if the record being reviewed was an initial IEP placement and go on to the next item. For all other IEP records, locate the date of the IEP team meeting to determine the current placement on the Determination and Notice of Placement (P-2). Next, locate the date of the IEP team meeting to determine the previous	Student-level Noncompliance: If the IEP team has not determined placement within the last twelve months, then the IEP team must meet to determine placement. If the IEP team met, but not within the last 12 months, no student-level correction is required. The department will verify correction of student-level noncompliance.

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

et take action to compliance lementing a system atrols. The fill verify current a new student
t take action to compliance lementing a system atrols. The ill verify current a new student
compliance lementing a system ntrols. The ill verify current n a new student
lementing a system ntrols. The ill verify current n a new student
ntrols. The ill verify current a new student
ill verify current n a new student
n a new student
Noncompliance:
as provided, then
as provided, but not
nentation of the IEP, yel corrective action
nere must be
parent received epartment will verify
student-level
e.
C.
oliance:
et take action to
compliance
lementing a system

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
IEP-13		If the LEA gives parents the placement notice and a	of internal controls. The
cont'd		copy of the IEP at the IEP team meeting, check the	department will verify current
		Evaluation Report and IEP Cover Sheet (form I-3) to	compliance on a new student
		see if the parents attended the meeting where the	record sample.
		student's placement was determined. A draft IEP does	
		not meet this requirement. Check the date of this IEP	
		team meeting. Compare the IEP team meeting date with	
		the date parents received the placement notice. If the	
		parents attended the IEP team meeting, and the date the	
		parents received the placement notice and the date of	
		the IEP team meeting are the same, assume the parents	
		were given the notice at the meeting. Check the	
		beginning date of IEP services at the top of the	
		Individualized Education Program: Program Summary	
		(form I-9). If the beginning date of IEP services is on or	
		after the date of the meeting where the parents received	
		the placement notice, consider the requirement met.	
		If the IEP was revised after, ensure that following its revision the	
		parents were provided a notice. An IEP may be revised after the	
		annual meeting. This may be done without conducting an IEP	
		team meeting. If the IEP is revised without conducting a	
		meeting, parents must be provided a notice and a copy of the	
		revised IEP. Determine whether the IEP has been revised	
		without a meeting. Look for form I-10-A, Changes to IEP, or	
		other evidence of an IEP revision. Then look for form I-10-B,	
		Notice of Changes To IEP Without an IEP Team Meeting, to	
		determine whether a notice was provided to the parents with a	
		copy of the revised IEP. For the requirement to be met, proper	
		notice must be provided after the annual IEP review and	
		following any subsequent IEP revisions.	

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Revised August 17, 2015

Discipline Sample

Discipline requirements may apply to different instances of removal for the same student. For example a student may be suspended for two days, constituting the 11th and 12th cumulative days of removal, and suspended again for three days, constituting the 13th, 14th, and 15th cumulative days of removal. Apply the standards and directions to each instance of removal to determine whether each discipline requirement has been met. Multiple instances of noncompliance for a particular item for a particular student are recorded as one "N". However each instance of noncompliance must be corrected according to the instructions.

Note: When reviewing a record of a student who is an adult without an appointed guardian, substitute "adult student" for "parent" in all items.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
DISC-1	After the tenth cumulative day of removal in the same school year, the student received educational services during subsequent periods of removal. 34 CFR §300.530(d)(4)&(5) Indicator 4	After a student has been removed for a violation of a code of student conduct for more than 10 cumulative school days in the same school year, during subsequent disciplinary removals the LEA must provide the student educational services so as to enable the child to continue to participate in the general education curriculum, although in another setting, and to progress toward meeting the goals set out in the child's IEP. Examine evidence, such as teacher notes, attendance logs, or teacher time records, to determine whether the student was provided educational services during each removal beyond the tenth cumulative day of removal in the school year. Examples of disciplinary removals include, but are not limited to: • Expulsions and other disciplinary changes of placement; • Removals to interim alternative educational settings for weapons or drug offenses or for inflicting serious bodily harm; • Out-of-school suspensions; • Certain in-school suspensions; • Certain bus suspensions; and • De facto suspensions.	Student-level Noncompliance: The LEA must consider compensatory services by holding an IEP team meeting or with the agreement of the student's parent either: (1) Develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP to reflect the compensatory services (complete Form I-10-A, Form I-10-B and attach copy of IEP); (2) Discuss with the student's parent and document agreement that no compensatory services are necessary (see Sample Letter). The department will verify
L	l .	1	1

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
DISC-1 cont'd	See DPI Information Update Bulletin No. 06.02 for additional information on discipline requirements at http://sped.dpi.wi.gov/sped_bul_06-02 .	Include in-school suspensions if: • The student did not participate with nondisabled peers to the extent required by the IEP; or • The student did not have the opportunity to appropriately progress in the general curriculum. Include a bus suspension if the student's IEP includes transportation as a related service and the district did not provide for alternative transportation. Include a removal as a <i>de facto</i> suspension if the student is removed from school or class for not following school rules without following the procedures related to suspension. LEAs should have procedures to accurately track and count <i>de facto</i> suspensions. A student is considered removed during periods when: (1) the student's IEP was not implemented; (2) the student did not participate with nondisabled peers to the extent required by the IEP; or (3) the student did not have the opportunity to appropriately progress in the general curriculum. • Partial day removals must be included when determining the number of days of removal for a student. For example, if a student was suspended for four hours, then it must be counted as a ½ day of removal.	correction of student-level noncompliance. Current Compliance: LEA must take action to ensure future compliance including implementing a system of internal controls. The department will verify current compliance on a new student record sample.
DISC-2	Within 10 school days of any decision to change the placement of a student with a disability because of a violation	Within 10 school days of any <u>decision</u> to change the placement of a student with a disability because of a violation of school code, the LEA, the parent, and relevant members of the student's IEP team must conduct a manifestation determination.	Student-level Noncompliance: The LEA must conduct a manifestation determination.

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
DISC-2	of school code, the LEA must		Except where a student is
cont'd	conduct a manifestation	A disciplinary change in educational placement for a student with	disciplined for behavior
	determination.	a disability occurs when a student is removed from his or her	involving weapons, drugs or
		current educational placement for more than ten consecutive	serious bodily harm, if the
	34 CFR §300.530(e)	school days because of a violation of school code.	behavior is determined to be a
			manifestation of the student's
	Indicator 4	A disciplinary change of placement also occurs if the student has	disability, the IEP team must
		been subjected to a series of removals that constitute a pattern	return the student to the
		because:	placement from which the
		• the series of removals total more than ten school days in a	student was removed, unless the
		school year;	parent and the LEA agree to a
		 the student's behavior is substantially similar to the 	change of placement as part of
		student's behavior in previous incidents that resulted in	the modification of the
		the series of removals; and	behavioral intervention plan.
		 of such additional factors as the length of each removal, 	TCALL LA CALLA
		the total amount of time the student has been removed,	If the behavior is determined not
		and the proximity of the removals to one another.	to be a manifestation of the
			student's disability, the LEA may remove the student to the same
		Whether the behavior in the incidents that resulted in the series of	extent it would remove a student
		removals is "substantially similar" should be decided on a case-	who does not have a disability.
		by-case basis and include consideration of any relevant	who does not have a disability.
		information regarding the student's behaviors, including, where	If the behavior is a manifestation
		appropriate, any information in the student's IEP.	of the student's disability and the
		Examine the student's <i>Manifestation Determination Review</i>	student already has a behavioral
		(Form 1-12). Determine whether the manifestation determination	intervention plan, the IEP team
		was completed within ten school days of the date of the decision	must meet to review the plan and
		to change the student's placement.	modify the plan, if necessary, to
		The date of the decision would be, for example,	address the student's behavior. If
		_	the student does not have a
		• the date the LEA decides to proceed with expulsion,	behavior intervention plan, the
		the date the LEA decides to change the student's sting for a school year the parent of a student with a disability and the legal adventional game.	

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
DISC-2	•	placement because of a violation of school code, or	IEP team must conduct a
cont'd		• the date the LEA determines the pattern of removals	functional behavioral assessment
		constitute a change in placement.	and implement a behavioral
			intervention plan for the student.
		Mark "NA" for this item if a disciplinary change in placement, as	
		defined above, did not occur.	If the behavior is not a
			manifestation of the student's
			disability the student must
			receive, as appropriate, a
			functional behavioral assessment,
			and behavioral intervention
			services and modifications that
			are designed to address the
			behavior violation so that it does
			not recur. The department will
			verify correction of student-level
			noncompliance.
			Current Compliance:
			The LEA must take action to
			ensure future compliance
			including implementing a system
			of internal controls. The
			department will verify current
			compliance on a new student
			record sample.
DIGC 2	ICAL LEAD A		G. I. I. I.N. I.
DISC-3	If the LEA determines the	Examine the student's <i>Manifestation Determination Review</i>	Student-level Noncompliance:
	conduct was a manifestation of	(Form 1-12). If the LEA determined the conduct was a	If the student already has a
	the student's disability, the	manifestation of the student's disability, look for evidence that	behavioral intervention plan, the
	LEA conducted a functional	the LEA conducted a functional behavioral assessment (FBA)	IEP team must meet to review

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
DISC-3	behavioral assessment and	and developed and implemented a behavioral intervention plan	the plan. The IEP team must
cont'd	implemented a behavioral	(BIP). If there is an existing BIP, look for evidence that the IEP	modify the plan, if necessary, to
	intervention plan (BIP), or if a	team reviewed and modified the plan, as necessary, to address the	address the student's behavior. If
	BIP had previously been	student's behavior.	the student does not have a
	developed, reviewed, and		behavioral intervention plan, the
	modified the BIP as necessary.	Mark "NA" for this item if the LEA determined the conduct was	IEP team must conduct a
		not a manifestation of the student's disability.	functional behavioral assessment
	34 CFR §300.530(f)		and implement a behavioral
		Mark "NA" for this item if a change in placement, as defined in	intervention plan for the student.
	Indicator 4	item DISC- 2, did not occur.	
	For information on conducting FBAs and developing BIPs, see DPI Information Update Bulletin No. 07.01 on "Addressing the Behavioral Needs of Students with Disabilities" at http://sped.dpi.wi.gov/sped_bul_07-01 .		Current Compliance: The LEA must take action to ensure future compliance including implementing a system of internal controls. The department will verify current compliance on a new student record sample.
DISC-4	On the date on which the	A copy of the procedural safeguards notice must be given to	Student-level Noncompliance:
	decision is made to make a removal that constitutes a	parents only one time a school year, except that a copy must also be given to the parents:	If not already provided, the LEA
			must notify the parents of the decision to make a removal that
	change of placement of a student with a disability because	• upon initial referral or parent request for evaluation,	constitutes a disciplinary change
	of a violation of school code,	• upon receipt of the first state IDEA complaint,	of placement. If not already
	the LEA notified the parent and	• upon receipt of the first due process complaint,	provided, the LEA must also
	provided the parents a copy of	on the date the LEA decides to make a disciplinary	provided, the EEA must also provide the parents a copy of the
	the procedural safeguards	removal that constitutes a change of placement.	procedural safeguards notice.
	notice.	Look for evidence the student's parents were notified and	Document that written notice
		Look for evidence the student's patents were notified and	

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
DISC-4 cont'd	34 CFR §300.530(h) Indicator 4	provided a copy of the procedural safeguards notice on the date the decision was made to change the student's placement for a violation of school code. The LEA should have a method of documenting when the procedural safeguards notice was sent.	was provided, including date and method. The department will verify correction of student-level noncompliance.
	A copy of the department's Model Procedural Safeguard Notice may be found at http://sped.dpi.wi.gov/sped_pcrights .	 The date of the decision would be, for example, the date the LEA decides to proceed with expulsion, the date the LEA decides to change the student's placement because of a violation of school code, or the date the LEA determines the pattern of removals constitute a change in placement. Mark "NA" for this item if a disciplinary change in placement, as defined in item DISC- 2, did not occur. 	Current Compliance: The LEA must take action to ensure future compliance including implementing a system of internal controls. The department will verify current compliance on a new student record sample. Develop a system to document procedural safeguards notice was provided, including date and method.

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Revised August 17, 2015
No Sample Items

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
		s for <u>all</u> students for whom consent was received (including publ	ic, private, home school, etc.)
NO SAMPLE - 1	The LEA conducted an initial evaluation within 60 days of receiving parental consent for the evaluation. 34 CFR §300.301(c)(1)(i), 34 CFR §300.309(c), Wis. Stats.	An LEA must determine if a student is a child with a disability within 60 days after the LEA receives parental consent for administering tests or other evaluation materials as part of an IEP team evaluation. There are three exceptions to the 60-calendar day timeline: • The first involves a student who transfers from one LEA to another after the 60-day timeline has begun and prior to	Student-level Noncompliance: Student-level corrective action is not required if there was a delay in the evaluation and the student was not found eligible for special education.
	\$115.78(3) Indicator 11 SLD FAQ http://sped.dpi.wi.gov/files/sped/pdf/sld-faq.pdf .	 a determination of eligibility by the previous LEA. For the exception to apply, the LEA must have completed the evaluation within a specific time agreed to by the parent and LEA. The second exception is if the parent repeatedly fails or refuses to make the student available for the evaluation. This is determined on a case-by-case basis, and what constitutes "repeatedly failed" or "refuses to make the student available" will vary depending on the specific circumstances in each case. The third exception applies to students being evaluated for a specific learning disability for the first time and the timeline is extended by mutual written agreement with the parent. 	The LEA must complete the evaluation if it has not been finished. The LEA must consider compensatory services because of the delay in the evaluation. The LEA can consider whether compensatory services are required by doing one of the following: 1) Hold an IEP team meeting; or
		The department has developed a new application for reporting NO SAMPLE-1 / Indicator 11: Timely Initial Evaluations data. A link to this new application is available within the PCSA reporting application as well as the Special Education Web Portal. The new application collects student-level information, calculates whether the evaluation was completed within the 60-	2) With the agreement of the student's parent, develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP to reflect the compensatory services (complete Form I-10-A, Form I-10-B and attach copy of IEP); or

*In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
NO		calendar day timeline and produces the required data report.	
SAMPLE		Assemble the following information for entry into the	3) Discuss with the student's
-1 cont'd		application:	parent and document agreement
			that no compensatory services
		1. A list of students for whom the LEA received consent to	are necessary (see Sample
		conduct an initial evaluation between July 1, 2014 and	<u>Letter</u>).
		June 30, 2015. Include all students initially evaluated,	
		including students who were enrolled in a private school	Current Compliance:
		by their parents, and students participating in home-based	The LEA must take action to
		education programs at the time of the evaluation. Do not	ensure future compliance
		include students who enrolled in a different LEA before	including implementing a system
		the evaluation was completed.	of internal controls. The
		2. For each student, the student's Wisconsin Student	department will verify current
		Number (WSN).	compliance on a new student
		3. For each student, the date consent to conduct the	record sample.
		evaluation was received by the LEA and the date the	
		evaluation was completed.*	
		4. For each student, whether the student was found eligible	
		or not eligible.	
		*Complete all evaluations prior to submitting NO SAMPLE-1 /	
		Timely Initial Evaluations data, unless one of the three exceptions	
NO	1.0	to the 60-calendar day timeline continues to apply.	
NO	After consulting with	A private school is an institution with a private educational	Student-level Noncompliance:
SAMPLE	representatives of private	program that meets all of the criteria under Wis. Stats. s.	Not Applicable
-2	schools, the LEA obtained a	118.165(1), or is determined to be a private school by the state	
	written affirmation signed by	superintendent under s. 118.167. A home-based private	
	private school	educational program is not a private school.	Current Compliance:
	representatives.**		The LEA must consult with
	24 CED 8200 125	During the design and development of special education and	representatives of private schools
	34 CFR §300.135	related services for parentally placed private school students with	and obtain a written affirmation

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
NO		disabilities, an LEA must engage in timely and meaningful	signed by private school
SAMPLE	Indicator: Other	consultation with representatives of private schools located in the	representatives. The department
-2 cont'd		LEA and the parents of such students. After consulting, the LEA	will verify current compliance
		must obtain written affirmations signed by private school	with this requirement.
	The DPI has developed an	representatives who were consulted.	
	affirmation form for LEA use. It		
	may be accessed at	If representatives of private elementary and secondary schools	
	http://sped.dpi.wi.gov/files/sped	located in the LEA were consulted, and there is a signed	
	/doc/prischaff.doc.	affirmation from each private school representative who was	
		consulted, the requirement is met. If an affirmation is requested	
		and the representative did not provide a signed affirmation within	
		a reasonable amount of time but the LEA forwarded	
		documentation of the consultation process to the DPI, the	
		requirement is met. If there are no private schools within the	
		boundaries of the LEA, mark "NA."	
NO	Each parentally placed private	A parentally placed private school student with a disability is a	Student-level Noncompliance:
SAMPLE	school student with a disability	student with a disability enrolled by his or her parent in a private,	The LEA must conduct a
-3	who has been designated by the	including religious, school.	meeting to develop a current
	LEA to receive services has a		services plan consistent with 34
	current services plan.**	A private school is an institution with a private educational	CFR 300.138(b). The department
	24 GFR 2200 120 (1)	program that meets all of the criteria under Wis. Stats. s.	will verify correction of student-
	34 CFR §300.138(b)	118.165(1) or is determined to be a private school by the state	level noncompliance.
		superintendent under s. 118.167. A home-based private	
	Indicator: Other	educational program is not a private school.	
			Current Compliance:
		A services plan for a parentally placed private school student	LEA must take action to ensure
		must, to the extent appropriate, be developed, reviewed, and	future compliance including
		revised consistent with 34 CFR §§ 300.321 through 300.324.	implementing a system of
			internal controls. The department
		Create a list of all parentally placed private school students with	will verify current compliance
		disabilities who have currently been designated by the LEA to	with this requirement.

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.

Item	Compliance Statement	Standards and Directions	Correction
NO		receive services. Exclude students found eligible for a service	
SAMPLE		plan after a district has already met the equitable services	
-3 cont'd		requirement.	
		The requirement is met if all students on the list have a services	
		plan revised within the last 12 months. The requirement is not	
		met if one or more students on the list have a services plan not	
		revised within the last 12 months.	

^{*}In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP meeting for a school year, the parent of a student with a disability and the local educational agency may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for the purposes of making such changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. 34 CFR §300.324(a)(4). Changes to a student's placement must be made through an IEP team meeting.

^{**}Not required for independent 2r charter schools authorized under Wis. Stats., §118.40.